UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE

NASHVILLE DIVISION

LAWRENCE BLITZ, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff,) Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-00992) CLASS ACTION
vs. AGFEED INDUSTRIES, INC., et al., Defendants.	Chief Judge Todd J. Campbell Magistrate Judge E. Clifton Knowles)))
KEVIN SOPUCH, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, vs. AGFEED INDUSTRIES, INC., et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-01025 CLASS ACTION Chief Judge Todd J. Campbell Magistrate Judge E. Clifton Knowles Magistrate Judge E. Clifton Knowles

[Additional captions appear on next page.]

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONSOLIDATING RELATED ACTIONS AND SETTING SCHEDULE

LAWRENCE R. ROSEN, Individually and on) Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,) Plaintiff,) vs.) AGFEED INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,) Defendants.)	Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-01043 CLASS ACTION Judge John T. Nixon Magistrate Judge John S. Bryant
JUNE W. DOUGHERTY, Individually and on) Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,) Plaintiff,) vs.) AGFEED INDUSTRIES, INC., et al.,) Defendants.)	Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-01046 CLASS ACTION Judge Kevin H. Sharp Magistrate Judge John S. Bryant
MICHAEL FAJEN, Individually and on Behalf) of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiff, vs. AGFEED INDUSTRIES, INC., et al., Defendants.)	Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-01050 CLASS ACTION Chief Judge Todd J. Campbell Magistrate Judge E. Clifton Knowles

This case is before the Court on the Motion of Defendants AgFeed Industries, Inc., John A. Stadler, Gerard Daignault, Raymond M. Cesca, Clay Marshall, and Edward Pazdro ("Movants") for consolidation and scheduling. There are currently five related putative securities class action lawsuits instituted on behalf of a purported class of persons who purchased or otherwise acquired the shares of AgFeed Industries, Inc. ("AgFeed") against AgFeed and certain of its officers and/or directors, alleging violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Movants have shown good cause for consolidation of these related actions that will promote judicial economy, avoid duplicative law and motion and discovery proceedings, and streamline adjudication of these matters, and it appearing that this motion should be granted,

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

1. The following actions are hereby consolidated for all purposes, including pretrial proceedings, trial and appeal, pursuant to Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

Abbreviated Case Name	<u>Case Number</u>	<u>Date Filed</u>
Blitz v. AgFeed Industries, Inc., et al.	3:11-cv-00992	10/18/2011
Sopuch v. AgFeed Industries, Inc., et al.	3:11-cv-01025	10/26/2011
Rosen v. AgFeed Industries, Inc., et al.	3:11-cv-01043	11/01/2011
Dougherty v. AgFeed Industries, Inc., et al.	3:11-cv-01046	11/01/2011
Fajen v. AgFeed Industries, Inc., et al.	3:11-cv-01050	11/02/2011

- 2. These consolidated actions shall be captioned as "In re AgFeed Industries, Inc. Securities Litigation" and the files of this action shall be maintained in one file under Master File No. 3:11-cv-00992. Any other actions now pending or hereafter filed in this District which arise out of the same facts and claims as alleged in these related actions shall be consolidated for all purposes, if and when they are brought before this Court and the Court accepts the transfer and approves consolidation:
- 3. Every pleading filed in these consolidated actions, or in any separate action included herein, shall bear the following caption:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

In re AGFEED INDUSTRIES, INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION	Master File No. 3:11-CV-00992
	CLASS ACTION
This Document Relates To:	Chief Judge Todd J. Campbell Magistrate Judge E. Clifton Knowles

- 4. When a pleading is intended to apply to all actions governed by this Order, the words "ALL ACTIONS" shall appear immediately after the words "This Document Relates To:" in the caption set out above. When a pleading is intended to apply only to some, but not all of the consolidated actions, this Court's docket number for the individual action to which the document applies along with the last name of the first-filed plaintiff in said action shall appear immediately after the words "This Document Relates To:" in the caption described above;
- 5. Pursuant to the Court's November 14, 2011 Order in *Blitz v. AgFeed* (Dkt. No. 17), defendants need not respond to the currently-operative complaint. Defendants also do not need to respond to the currently-operative complaints in *Sopuch*, *Rosen*, *Dougherty* or *Fajen*;
- 6. Within sixty (60) days of the appointment of a Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel in the consolidated action, Lead Plaintiff shall file a consolidated complaint which shall be the operative complaint and which shall supersede all previously filed complaints.¹ Defendants shall answer, move or otherwise respond to the consolidated complaint on or before sixty (60) days after Lead Plaintiff files a consolidated complaint. Lead Plaintiff shall have sixty (60) days to oppose any

Pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, lead plaintiff motions are due on December 19, 2011.

motion(s) to dismiss filed by Defendants. Defendants shall have thirty (30) days to reply to Lead Plaintiff's opposition to Defendants' motion(s) to dismiss.

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED:		
		THE HONODADLEE OF ITTOM KNOWLED

THE HONORABLE E. CLIFTON KNOWLES UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

SUBMITTED BY:

By: /s/ Overton Thompson

> Overton Thompson III (TN #11163) E. Steele Clayton, IV (TN #17298) BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC 150 Third Avenue South, Suite 2800 Nashville, Tennessee 37201 Telephone: (615) 742-6200 othompson@bassberry.com sclayton@bassberry.com

Attorneys for Defendants AgFeed Industries, Inc., John A. Stadler, Gerard Daignault, Raymond M. Cesca, Clay Marshall, and Edward Pazdro

Of Counsel:

William R. Baker, III J. Christian Word LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 555 Eleventh Street, NW **Suite 1000** Washington DC 20004-1304